

Effective Field Theory for Plasmas at all Temperatures and Densities¹

Eric Braaten

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208

Abstract

The solution of the plasmon problem and the subsequent development of an effective field theory approach to ultrarelativistic plasmas are reviewed. The effective lagrangians that summarize collective effects in ultrarelativistic quark-gluon and electron-photon plasmas are presented. A generalization that describes an electromagnetic plasma at all temperatures and densities is proposed.

Effective field theory methods have proven to be very powerful in treating plasmas at ultrarelativistic temperatures and densities. This approach was developed as a byproduct of the solution of the long-standing plasmon problem of high temperature QCD. It has opened the way for the systematic calculation of many fundamental properties of ultrarelativistic plasmas that were not feasible with previous methods. The effective field theory approach has recently been summarized compactly in the form of elegant effective lagrangians. For many applications, it would be useful to have a unified approach that works at all temperatures and densities. One promising approach is to generalize the effective field theory that describes the ultrarelativistic regime. The effective lagrangians for ultrarelativistic quark-gluon and electron-photon plasmas will be reviewed below and a generalization that describes an electromagnetic plasma at any temperature and density will be proposed.

The plasma problem of high temperature QCD was first posed by Kalashnikov and Klimov in 1980 and by Gross, Pisarski, and Yaffe in 1981 [1]. The problem was that a

¹presented at the Workshop on Finite Temperature Field Theory, Winnipeg, July 20-25, 1992.

1-loop calculation of the gluon damping rate, which is proportional to the imaginary part of the gluon self energy, gives a gauge dependent answer. Over the next 10 years, there were about a dozen published attempts to calculate the gluon damping rate, with almost as many different answers. In 1989, Pisarski pointed out that a 1-loop calculation of the damping rate is simply incomplete [2]. A consistent calculation to leading order in the QCD coupling constant g_s must include contributions from all orders in the loop expansion. He was able to carry out the necessary resummation explicitly for the damping rate of a heavy quark. The resummation consisted of replacing the gluon propagator in the 1-loop diagram for the heavy quark self-energy by an effective gluon propagator obtained by summing up the hard thermal loop corrections (the terms proportional to $g_s^2 T^2$) to the gluon self-energy. This effective propagator was first calculated by Klimov and by Weldon [3], who used it to study the propagation of gluons and the screening of interactions in the high temperature limit of the quark-gluon plasma.

The problem of the gluon damping rate is a little more complicated. It is not enough to replace the gluon propagators in the 1-loop gluon self-energy diagrams by effective propagators, because there are also vertex corrections that are not suppressed by any powers of g_s . In particular, the 3-gluon vertex has hard thermal loop corrections proportional to $g_s^3 T^2$ which contribute at the same order as the bare vertex of order g_s . Similarly, the 4-gluon vertex has hard thermal loop corrections proportional to $g_s^4 T^2$, which contribute at the same order as the bare vertex of order g_s^4 . A thorough diagrammatic analysis of the damping rate [4] by Pisarski and me revealed that the hard thermal loop corrections to the gluon propagator, the 3-gluon vertex, and the 4-gluon vertex are the complete set of diagrams that need to be resummed in order to calculate the damping rate to leading order in g_s . The result of this resummation was proved to be gauge invariant, thus solving the plasmon problem. We were also able to calculate the damping rate explicitly [5], thus demonstrating that the resummation could be used as a practical calculational tool. The damping rate of a quark has also been calculated [6] and subtleties in the proof of gauge invariance of the damping rates have been carefully analyzed [7].

The resummation required to solve the plasmon problem has a simple interpretation in terms of an effective field theory. The complete damping rate to leading order in g_s is given

by the imaginary part of the 1-loop gluon self-energy diagrams, with the gluon propagators replaced by effective propagators and with the 3-gluon and 4-gluon vertices replaced by effective vertices obtained by adding the hard thermal loop corrections to the bare vertices. This is equivalent to calculating 1-loop diagrams in an effective field theory whose propagator is the effective gluon propagator of Klimov and Weldon and whose vertices are the effective 3-gluon and 4-gluon vertices that Pisarski and I introduced. These propagators and vertices are related by gauge invariance, just like their counterparts in the QCD lagrangian. Our analysis [4] revealed however that hard thermal loop corrections also appear in the effective n -gluon amplitudes for $n = 5, 6, 7, \dots$. They are related to the lower n -gluon amplitudes by gauge invariance, but they have no counterparts in the QCD lagrangian. While Pisarski and I were able to calculate all these amplitudes explicitly, we were unable to find an effective action which generated them. In 1990, Taylor and Wong [8] succeeded in writing down such an action in closed form, but it was very cumbersome. Finally in 1991, Frenkel and Taylor and, independently, Pisarski and I, succeeded in writing down an elegant effective action for the gluon amplitudes [9].

The lagrangian density which summarizes the effective field theory for a quark-gluon plasma at ultrarelativistic temperature or density has the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{QCD} + \mathcal{L}_{gluon} + \mathcal{L}_{quark} . \quad (1)$$

The first term is the usual lagrangian density for QCD:

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + i \sum \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi , \quad (2)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}^a T^a$ is the gluon field strength contracted with generators T^a that satisfy $\text{tr}(T^a T^b) = \delta^{ab}/2$. The sum is over n_f flavors of massless quarks. The second term in (1) is the thermal gluon term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{gluon} = \frac{3}{2} m_g^2 \text{tr} G_{\mu\alpha} \left\langle \frac{P^\alpha P^\beta}{(P \cdot D)^2} \right\rangle G^\mu{}_\beta , \quad (3)$$

where D is the gauge-covariant derivative in the adjoint representation. The angular brackets $\langle f(P) \rangle$ represent the average over the spacial directions $\hat{p}$ of the light-like 4-vector $P =$

$(p, \vec{p})$. The coefficient m_g is the thermal gluon mass:

$$m_g^2 = \frac{g^2}{3} T^2 + n_f \frac{g^2}{18} \left(T^2 + \frac{3}{\pi^2} \mu^2 \right) , \quad (4)$$

where T is the temperature and μ is the quark chemical potential. The quark term in (1) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{quark} = i m_q^2 \sum \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \left\langle \frac{P^\mu}{P \cdot D} \right\rangle \psi , \quad (5)$$

where m_q is the thermal quark mass:

$$m_q^2 = \frac{g^2}{6} \left(T^2 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \mu^2 \right) . \quad (6)$$

In astrophysical applications one encounters ultrarelativistic plasmas of electrons and photons. The effective lagrangian that describes such a plasma is the nonabelian version of (1):

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{QED} + \mathcal{L}_\gamma + \mathcal{L}_e . \quad (7)$$

The lagrangian for QED with massless electrons is

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi . \quad (8)$$

The plasma terms in the effective lagrangian (7) are

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma = \frac{3}{4} m_\gamma^2 F_{\mu\alpha} \left\langle \frac{P^\alpha P^\beta}{(P \cdot \partial)^2} \right\rangle F^\mu{}_\beta , \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_e = i m_e^2 \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \left\langle \frac{P^\mu}{P \cdot D} \right\rangle \psi . \quad (10)$$

The thermal photon mass m_γ and the thermal electron mass m_e are

$$m_\gamma^2 = \frac{e^2}{9} \left(T^2 + \frac{3}{\pi^2} \mu^2 \right) , \quad (11)$$

$$m_e^2 = \frac{e^2}{8} \left(T^2 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \mu^2 \right) . \quad (12)$$

The photon propagator that follows from the effective lagrangian (7) gives the correct dispersion relations for photons and plasmons propagating through an ultrarelativistic plasma [10, 3]. The effective electron propagator gives the correct dispersion relations for electrons and positrons [11], including the effects of the thermal mass m_e in (12) and the propagation of an extra degree of freedom that has been christened the “plasmino” [12]. An important property of these dispersion relations is that they are all real-valued, which means that they describe the propagation of stable quasiparticles. Damping arises only from interactions and can be treated as a perturbation. The effective propagators also correctly describe the screening of interactions due to the plasma, not only the Debye screening of the Coulomb interaction, but also dynamical screening of the magnetic interaction and screening of the interaction generated by electron exchange.

The thermal photon term (9) in the effective lagrangian is gauge invariant because it is constructed out of the gauge invariant field strength $F^{\mu\nu}$. The thermal electron term (10) is also manifestly gauge invariant and this has some important consequences. The expansion of the covariant derivative $D^\mu = \partial^\mu - ieA^\mu$ generates electron-photon interactions that are related to the electron propagator by gauge invariance. There are not only corrections to the fundamental electron-photon vertex, but also electron-multiphoton interactions that have no counterpart in QED at zero temperature and density. These effective vertices must be included for consistency in any calculation that involves both the effective electron propagator and the bare QED interaction.

In most applications in astrophysics, an ultrarelativistic treatment of the plasma is insufficient. Since an effective field theory approach seems to provide the most efficient description of the plasma at ultrarelativistic temperatures and densities, it would be desirable to have an effective field theory that describes the plasma at all temperatures and densities. The propagator of this effective field theory should reproduce accurately the dispersion relations for transverse photons, plasmons, and the charged particle modes of the plasma. The dispersion relations should be real-valued, so that damping effects can be treated as perturbations. The effective field theory should also describe accurately the screening effects of the plasma. Finally, the lagrangian for this field theory should be gauge invariant. An effective field theory that satisfies all these conditions will be proposed below.

The effective lagrangian describing the propagation and interactions of photons and electrons in the plasma will be the sum of 3 terms as in (7). The QED term is the same as (8), except that the bare electron mass can no longer be neglected:

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi - m_e\bar{\psi}\psi. \quad (13)$$

Here and below, m_e represents the bare electron mass, $m_e = 0.511$ MeV, and not the thermal mass given in (12). For a plasma that contains charged particles that are not ultrarelativistic, the photon term (9) must be generalized to

$$\mathcal{L}_\gamma = e^2 F_{\mu\alpha} \left(\sum_i Q_i^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E} f_i(E) \frac{P^\alpha P^\beta}{(P \cdot \partial)^2} \right) F^\mu{}_\beta, \quad (14)$$

where the sum is over all the spin states of the charged particles and Q_i is the charge of the i 'th type of particle in units of the proton charge e . The integral is over the phase space of $P = (E, \vec{p})$, where $E = \sqrt{m_i^2 + p^2}$ and m_i is the mass of the i 'th particle. The thermal distribution function $f_i(E)$ is the Bose distribution if the particle is a boson and the Fermi distribution if it is a fermion. In the case where the only charged particles in the plasma are ultrarelativistic electrons and positrons, each having two spin states, the effective lagrangian (14) reduces to (9).

The effective lagrangian (14) takes into account to leading order in the electromagnetic coupling e^2 the forward scattering amplitudes of pointlike charged particles in the plasma. For example, an electron in the plasma with 4-momentum P can interact with the electromagnetic field in such a way that it is scattered back into the same momentum state P . Representing the electromagnetic field by an off-shell photon with 4-momentum K , this process is

$$\gamma^*(K) e^-(P) \rightarrow \gamma^*(K) e^-(P). \quad (15)$$

Since the initial and final states both consist of a virtual photon in a plasma at equilibrium, these forward scattering amplitudes should be added coherently over the thermal distribution of the momentum P and over all the types of charged particles as well. The mathematical approximation that leads to the effective lagrangian (14) is to take $K^2 \ll P \cdot K$ in

the forward scattering amplitudes. This is equivalent to calculating the forward scattering amplitudes at zero temperature and electron density [13]. For the values of the photon momentum K for which plasma corrections to the photon propagator are important, the condition $K^2 \ll P \cdot K$ is well-satisfied for almost all the momenta P allowed by the thermal distribution $f_i(E)$ in (14).

There are 3 limiting cases of an electromagnetic plasma that have been studied thoroughly: the nonrelativistic limit, the degenerate limit, and the ultrarelativistic limit. One can verify that the term (14) accurately describes the propagation of photons and plasmons and the screening of the electromagnetic field in each of these 3 limits. As illustrations, I consider the plasma frequency ω_{pl} and the Debye screening mass k_D . The general formulas for these quantities that follow from the effective lagrangian (14) are

$$\omega_{pl}^2 = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \sum_i Q_i^2 \int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^2}{E} f_i(E) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}v^2\right), \quad (16)$$

$$k_D^2 = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \sum_i Q_i^2 \int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^2}{E} f_i(E) \left(1 + \frac{1}{v^2}\right), \quad (17)$$

where $v = p/E$ is the velocity of the charged particle. For an ultrarelativistic plasma of electrons and positrons, (16) and (17) reduce to $\omega_{pl} \rightarrow m_\gamma$ and $k_D \rightarrow \sqrt{3}m_\gamma$, where m_γ is the thermal photon mass given in (11). In the nonrelativistic limit, the expressions (16) and (17) reduce to the classic results:

$$\omega_{pl}^2 \rightarrow \sum_i Q_i^2 \frac{4\pi\alpha n_i}{m_i}, \quad (18)$$

$$k_D^2 \rightarrow \sum_i Q_i^2 \frac{4\pi\alpha n_i}{T}, \quad (19)$$

where n_i is the number density of the appropriate spin state i . In the degenerate limit, they also reduce to the correct expressions:

$$\omega_{pl}^2 \rightarrow \sum_i Q_i^2 \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi} p_{Fi}^2 v_{Fi}, \quad (20)$$

$$k_D^2 \rightarrow \sum_i Q_i^2 \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \frac{p_{Fi}^2}{v_{Fi}}, \quad (21)$$

where $p_{Fi} = (6\pi^2 n_i)^{1/3}$ is the Fermi momentum of the spin state i and v_{Fi} is the corresponding Fermi velocity. Since the effective lagrangian correctly describes the 3 limiting cases and interpolates smoothly between them, it should be accurate at all temperatures and densities.

An electron term in the effective lagrangian can be derived by the same methods as the photon term. It must take into account forward scattering amplitudes involving photons, electrons, and positrons in the plasma. Representing the electron field by an off-shell electron of momentum K , the relevant processes are

$$e^*(K) \gamma(Q) \rightarrow e^*(K) \gamma(Q), \quad (22)$$

$$e^*(K) e^\pm(P) \rightarrow e^*(K) e^\pm(P). \quad (23)$$

Calculating the forward scattering amplitudes at zero temperature and electron density as in Ref. [13], they can be taken into account in the effective lagrangian by adding the following electron term:

$$\mathcal{L}_e = i e^2 \bar{\psi} \left(2 \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2q} f_\gamma(q) \frac{Q \cdot \gamma}{Q \cdot D} + \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E} f_{e^\pm}(E) \frac{P \cdot \gamma \mp 2m_e}{P \cdot D \pm im_e^2} \right) \psi, \quad (24)$$

where $Q = (q, \vec{q})$ and $P = (E, \vec{p})$ with $E = \sqrt{p^2 + m_e^2}$. The resulting effective propagator describes not only the propagation of electrons and positrons, but the plasmino as well. Effective electron-photon and electron-multiphoton vertices appear upon expanding the covariant derivative $D^\mu = \partial^\mu - ieA^\mu$ in (24). In any calculation that involves both the effective electron propagator and the bare electron-photon vertex, gauge invariance requires that the effective vertices also be included for consistency. The effective lagrangian (24) reduces to (10) in the limit of ultrarelativistic temperature or electron density. Its behavior in the nonrelativistic and degenerate limits has not yet been studied.

The effective lagrangian consisting of (13), (14), and (24) should provide a useful starting point for describing an electromagnetic plasma at all temperatures and densities. It sums up the coherent effects of forward scattering amplitudes into effective propagators and vertices. The effective propagators incorporate the screening of the electromagnetic

interaction by the plasma, as well as its effects on the dispersion relations for the propagating modes. The effective vertices in the electron term are required by gauge invariance. The effective field theory approach has proved to be very powerful for calculating the properties of the plasma in the ultrarelativistic limit. The effective field theory presented above allows a smooth interpolation between these calculations and the corresponding results in the nonrelativistic and degenerate limits. Thus it allows for a unified description of an electromagnetic plasma at all temperatures and densities.

References

- [1] O.K. Kalishnikov and V.V. Klimov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **31**, 699 (1980); D.J. Gross, R.D. Pisarski, and L.G. Yaffe, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981).
- [2] R.D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1129 (1989).
- [3] V. V. Klimov, *Sov. Phys. J.E.T.P.* **55**, 199 (1982); H. A. Weldon, *Phys. Rev.* **D26**, 1394 (1982).
- [4] E. Braaten and R.D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1338 (1990); *Nucl. Phys.* **B337**, 569 (1990); *Nucl. Phys.* **B339**, 310 (1990).
- [5] E. Braaten and R.D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 2156 (1990).
- [6] R. Kobes, G. Kunstatter, and K. Mak, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 4632 (1992); E. Braaten and R.D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev.* **D46**, 1829 (1992).
- [7] R. Baier, G. Kunstatter, and D. Schiff, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, R4381 (1992); A. Rebhan, CERN preprint CERN-TH 6434/92 (January 1992); H. Nakkagawa, A. Niegawa, and B. Pire, Ecole Polytechnique preprint CPTH-0292 (February 1992).
- [8] J.C. Taylor and S.M.H. Wong, *Nucl. Phys.* **B334**, 199 (1991).
- [9] J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor, *Nucl. Phys.* **B374**, 156 (1992); E. Braaten and R.D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, R1827 (1992).

- [10] V.P. Silin, *Sov. Phys. J.E.T.P.* **11**, 1136 (1960).
- [11] V.V. Klimov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **33**, 934 (1981); H.A. Weldon, *Phys. Rev.* **D26**, 2789 (1982).
- [12] E. Braaten, *Astrophys. J.* **392**, 70 (1992); G. Baym, J.-P. Blaizot, and B. Svetitsky, Saclay preprint S.Ph-T/92-027 (May, 1992).
- [13] G. Barton, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **200**, 271 (1990).